

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PAPER

03/18/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/880,199	06/13/2001	Cornelis Theodorus Verrips	F7544(V)	6098	
201 2509 03/18/2008 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFES. NI 07632-3100				EXAMINER CHAWLA, JYOTI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/880,199	VERRIPS, CORNELIS THEODORUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JYOTI CHAWLA	1794		

	JYOTI CHAWLA	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[</li></ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period to under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>∑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 February 2008</u>. A b</li> </ol>	rief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	37 must be filed within	two months of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	missal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	
(a)   They raise new issues that would require further co			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		2 50.011),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> </ol>	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendme	nt canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6,12-14,19,21-25 and 27.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).     ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to the claims filed February 21, 2008 has not been entered as it raises new issues that would require new search and consideration.

Two new claims (28 and 29) have been added after the final office action.

The independent claim 14 has been improperly amended to include "presentation" as a limitation, which was not examined in the previous office actions.

Claim 21 has been amended to depend upon a cancelled claim, thus the scope of the claim is unclear.

New claim 29, introduces a new limitation that after the Lactobacilli in the fermented food product are rendered non-viable, "no fermentation of the food product will take place" which was not claimed before and requires further search and consideration.

The amendments as discussed above include additional limitations including two new independent claims and thus would require new search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' remarks filed February 21, 2008, concerning the new claims 28-29, have been considered. Claim 29, Introduces a new limitation that after the clobabilit in the fermented food product are rendered non-viable, "no fermentation of the food product will take place" which was not claimed before and requires further search and consideration.

The non compliant amendments to claims 14 and 21 amendments as discussed above include additional limitations including two new independent claims and thus would require new search and consideration. Applicant's arguments regarding the 112 (second paragraph) rejection have been considered and have not been found persuasive and the rejections are maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the previous office actions.

Applicants' argument regarding Meister and Klaver have also been fully considered and have been responded in the previous office action (September 21, 2007). The rejections are maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the previous office actions absent any new clear and convincing arguments or evidence to the contrary.